



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,677	02/25/2002	Whitney Hilton Stewart	025213-9075-00	6623

23409 7590 08/18/2008  
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP  
100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE  
Suite 3300  
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202

EXAMINER
----------

TRAN, HAI

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3694

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/18/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/082,677	<b>Applicant(s)</b> STEWART ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> HAI TRAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 3694	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 May 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 48-76 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 48-76 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is the **Final Action** in response to the Amendments filed on May 1, 2008 for application, titled: "Electronic Payment and Authentication System with Debit and Identification Data Verification and Electronic Check Capabilities".
2. Claims 48, 50, and 76 have been amended. Claims 1-47 had been cancelled. Accordingly, claims 48-76 are pending in this application.

### ***Priority***

3. This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/271,156, filed on February 23, 2001.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

4. Applicant has amended claim 48. Hence, the rejection is withdrawn.

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 48-76 under U.S.C. 102 and 103 rejections have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 3694

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 48-49, 54-58, and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lawlor et al. (U.S. Patent no. 7,076,458) ("Lawlor").

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

8. **With respect to claim 48**, Lawlor discloses a debit data validation system for a network, the system comprising:

a calling application configured to receive a request to validate debit data from a merchant, and receive transactional debit data that is to be validated (see col. 7, lines 47-60, col. 21, lines 44-2 of col. 22, Figure 1/elements 54-62. col. 17, lines 21-31);

a debit data search engine including a keying module and a matching module, wherein the debit data search engine is configured to receive the transactional debit data from the calling application, and process the transactional debit data to identify a consumer key (see Figure 1A/element 80, col. 19, lines 44-43 of col. 20); and

a debit data warehouse including stored debit data, wherein the debit data warehouse is configured to retrieve the stored debit data associated with the consumer key wherein the stored debit data is representative of at least one consumer, and further

Art Unit: 3694

wherein the consumer key links the stored debit data gathered from a plurality of data sources (see Figure 1A/element 84, col. 18, lines 60-23 of col. 19); and

wherein the calling application is further configured to process the stored debit data, determined whether to allow the debit transaction, and generate a response message to the merchant with the determination (see col. 20, lines 51-14 of col. 21).

9. **With respect to claim 49**, Lawlor teaches wherein the keying module performs a keying process, and further wherein the keying process includes a standardization component, a validation component, and a matching component (see col. 21, lines 16-43).

10. **With respect to claim 54**, Lawlor teaches a system as claimed in claim 50, wherein the raw debit data includes data from at least one of a checking account opening, a checking account closing, a savings account opening, a savings account closing, a checking account collection, an overdraft, a check order, a returned check transaction, a check printing order, an account inquiry, a retail transaction, an ATM transaction, an automated clearinghouse transaction, and an Internet transaction (see col. 13, lines 54-57).

11. **With respect to claim 55**, Lawlor teaches a system as claimed in claim 50, wherein the raw debit data includes attributes associated with the at least one consumer, and further wherein the attributes include at least one of a name, an address, a SSN, a driver's license number, a driver's license state, a bank account number, a home phone number, a work phone number, and an MICR (see col. 10, lines 52-54).

Art Unit: 3694

12. **With respect to claim 56**, Lawlor teaches a system as claimed in claim 55, wherein the raw debit data from the at least one data source is utilized only if it includes at least two of the attributes (see col. 10, lines 52-54).

13. **With respect to claim 57**, Lawlor teaches a system as claimed in claim 49, wherein the standardization component standardizes the raw debit data into a consistent format (see col. 20, lines 36-43).

14. **With respect to claim 58**, Lawlor does not expressly teach such feature. However, Brown teaches a system as claimed in claim 49, wherein the validation component checks the raw debit data against existing reference files to detect at least one of bad data and incorrect data (see col. 9, lines 16-35).

15. **With respect to claim 65**, Lawlor teaches wherein the at least one consumer key is identified by at least one of a name and an address (see col. 10, lines 52-55).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

17. Claims 50-53, 59-64, and 66-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lawlor in view of Brown et al. (U.S Patent No. 6,026,398) ("Brown").

18. **With respect to claim 50-53**, Lawlor does not teach such raw data processing features. However, Brown teaches wherein a converter is adapted to be coupled to at

least one of the debit data search engine and the debit data warehouse, further wherein the converter is coupled to at least one data source, and further wherein the at least one data source includes raw debit data representative of the at least one consumer (see Brown, Abstract);

wherein the converter performs parsing of the raw debit data and parsing includes breaking a single data field into a number of representative data fields (see Brown, col. 10, lines 1-11, figure 7/element 31); the converter performs bursting of the raw debit data and bursting includes separating a joint account name into at least two representative names (see Brown, col. 3, lines 39-46, col. 4, figures 3-6); and the converter includes a geographic coder adapted to correct at least one of a street name, a city, a state, a zip code (see Brown, col. 4, lines 57-67, col. 9, lines 36-59 of col. 10, figures 3-6).

Lawlor discloses a system and method for distributing financial services to remote locations, but is silent on data searching and matching. Brown teaches a database data processing system where input data is searched and matched against an index of a database. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the teachings of Brown, related to database searching and matching, in the teachings of Lawlor, related to remote distribution of financial services, to offer an improved system to customers.

19. **With respect to claims 59-63**, Lawlor does not teach such matching condition feature. However, Brown teaches a system as claimed in claim 49, wherein the matching component matches the raw debit data against the stored debit data to

Art Unit: 3694

determine the first condition and second condition (see Brown, col. 3, lines 47-30 of col. 4, col. 14, lines 43-58). One of ordinary skill in the art would have combined the teachings of Brown with Lawlor's to offer an improved system to customers.

20. **With respect to claim 64**, Lawlor does not expressly teach such features.

However, Brown teaches wherein the at least one consumer key is thirteen bytes long with the first three bytes including a partitioning key, wherein the partitioning key determines the physical partition the stored debit data the at least one consumer key is representative of is located in (see Brown, figures 13, 15, col. 16, lines 16-5 of col. 17). One of ordinary skill in the art would have combined the teachings of Brown with Lawlor's to offer an improved system to customers.

21. **With respect to claims 66-75**, these claims are similar to claims 55-63 and have the same limitations. Hence, they are rejected under the rationale provided in claims 55-63.

22. **With respect to claim 76**, this method claim is similar to system claims 48 and 59 including generating response messages to the merchant indicating whether the checked conditions are valid or not. This claim has the same limitations as in claims 48 and 59. Hence, it is rejected under the rationale provided in claims 48 and 59.

### ***Conclusion***

23. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP



§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

24. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HAI TRAN whose telephone number is (571)272-7364. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-4 PM.

26. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

27. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 3694

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. T./

Examiner, Art Unit 3694

/James P Trammell/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3694